



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

FIRST SECTION

DECISION

Application no. 33797/07
Albert Vladimirovich KNYAZKOV
against Russia

The European Court of Human Rights (First Section), sitting on 31 January 2012 as a Chamber composed of:

Nina Vajić, *President*,
Anatoly Kovler,
Peer Lorenzen,
Khanlar Hajiyev,
Mirjana Lazarova Trajkovska,
Julia Laffranque,
Erik Møse, *judges*,

and Søren Nielsen, *Section Registrar*,

Having regard to the above application lodged on 18 June 2007,

Having regard to the formal declarations accepting a friendly settlement of the case,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

PROCEDURE

The applicant, Mr Albert Vladimirovich Knyazkov, is a Russian national who was born in 1973 and is serving a prison sentence in the Kostroma Region. He was represented before the Court by Ms Y. Leontyeva, a lawyer practising in Moscow. The Russian Government (“the Government”) were represented by Mr G. Matyushkin, Representative of the Russian Federation at the European Court of Human Rights.

The applicant complained, *inter alia*, under Article 3 of the Convention about the conditions of his pre-trial detention.

On 29 March 2011 the Court received the friendly settlement declarations signed by the parties under which the applicant agreed to waive any further claims against Russia in respect of the facts giving rise to this application against an undertaking by the Government to pay him 9,350 euros to cover any pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage, as well as costs and expenses, which would be free of any taxes that may be applicable and would be payable within three months from the date of notification of the decision taken by the Court pursuant to Article 37 § 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights. The payment will constitute the final resolution of the case.

THE LAW

The Court takes note of the friendly settlement reached between the parties. It is satisfied that the settlement is based on respect for human rights as defined in the Convention and its Protocols and finds no reasons to justify a continued examination of the application (Article 37 § 1 *in fine* of the Convention).

In view of the above, it is appropriate to strike the case out of the list.

For these reasons, the Court unanimously

Decides to strike the application out of its list of cases.

Søren Nielsen
Registrar

Nina Vajić
President